Discussion regarding Agreement with Washington County Prohibiting the District from Building Dams in Washington County – This item placed on the agenda at the request of Director Japp. April 7, 2009

Papio-Missouri River NRD 8901 South154th Street Omaha, NE 68138

Honorable Directors,

Between the years of 2001 and 2003 our small Village of Washington had the highest percentage of population growth reported in Washington County. Our Village witnessed the addition of several new single family dwellings as well as improvements to existing homes during that period.

In 2004 when it was announced that two large dams may be built in southern Washington County by this NRD, which have the potential of taking most if not all of our Village, there has been no growth and very little renovations. The negative impacts to our Village, as a result of the proposed dam, have been as follows: 1.) No increase in tax base due to no growth; 2.) Decreasing valuations; 3.) Inability of homeowners to sell their property due to lack of marketability.

The Village of Washington is presently trying to create a water system for the residents by utilizing a rural water system. As such, we are exploring State and Federal funding to help facilitate the project. However, with the stigma of the dams, it will make it much tougher to obtain these funds.

Our village is like any other municipality, we would like to be able to grow, offer increased city services and attract businesses to locate in our area. With the threat of the dams behind us, we would be able achieve these goals.

Our Village Board would like to encourage this Subcommittee to advance this motion to be considered by the Board of Directors. Our Board feels that this agreement will be beneficial to all the parties involved.

Respectfully,

Kevin Propst Chairman, Washington Village Board of Trustees

,011fraN/aC

New board member wants no-dam pledge put on paper.

By Stephanie Ludwig Reporter

Eventhough the Papillion Creek Watershed Partnership has stated that it has no intention of building dams in Washington County, some residents have their doubts.

resident Scott Japp, who curof directors for the Papio- County opposes dams. Missouri Natural Resources Japp also brought the

Japp plans to make a momeeting on Thursday for the dams as well. NRD to sign a contract with Also discussed at the last that it has no intention of building dams in the county without the approval of the Washington County Board.

The motion must first be made at an NRD subcommittee meeting on April 7. Japp requested a member of the Washington County Board attend the meetings.

"I think it would be beneficial that the Washington County supervisors sign an agreement with the Papio Resources Committee, and is NRD so that they (the NRD) abide by what they said they deth die

the motion at the NRD's last Lincoln. This is the state of the state o meeting. So far, the feedback In response to the amendhe has gotten from other, ment the Washington Counboard members has been mixed.

While he hopes the motion would pass and a contract would be signed, Japp said he thinks it only has

"I think it would be beneficial that the Washington County supervisors sign an agreement with the Papio NRD so that they (the NRD) abide by whatthey said they were going to do."

-Scott Japp, NRD board member

a/50-50 chance. He views it That's why Arlington more as a symbolic gesture to the NRD board to show rently serves on the board how seriously Washington

District, is trying to get that same idea to the Burt County promise in writing Board. That board voted in favor of signing a contract tion at the next NRD board with the NRD to promise no

Washington County stating county board meeting was an amendment to LB 160, a bill in the Nebraska Legislature that would authorize the issuance of flood protection and water quality enhancement bonds by NRDs. The new amendment makes it impossible for an NRD to build a dam larger than 20 acres without approval from a county board.

The amendment was added to the bill by the Natural currently pending approval. The bill, introduced by Sen. were going to do," Japp Tim Gay of Papillion, was made a legislative priority Japp said he brought up bill by Sen. John Nelson of

> ty Board directed the county attorney's office to draft a working document to limit flood control projects that require eminent domain to 20 acres or less.